

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,068	03/16/2004	Albert S. Wang	MS1-801USC6	5179
22801	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500		F 500	DIEP, NHON THANH	
SPOKANE,		L 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2613	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/803,068	WANG, ALBERT	S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon T. Diep	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Seconds</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Experiments.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 13,29,45 and 49 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 13,29,45 and 49 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the case Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14  The oath or declaration is o	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(s) i	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/803,068 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 13, 29, 45 and 49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,852. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the invention being claimed is a broader recitation of the same invention being claimed in the above US Patent. Therefore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/803,068 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

application claims are encompassed by the above patent. A terminal disclaimer is required so as to insure that, were the application to mature into a patent, both patents would be commonly owned in their lifetimes.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 13, 29, 45 and 49 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present application relates to video signals encoding method and apparatus. The various prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obviousness the determination of scene change in a motion video image represented by the motion video image based at least in part on comparing the current absolute pixel difference to a filtered previous absolute pixel difference and in combination with other limitations in claims 12, 29 and 45.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Chen et al (US 6,335,990) discloses a system and method for spatial temporal-filtering for improving compressed digital video.
  - b. Dopp et al (US 5,606,373) discloses methods for repeated field detection.

Art Unit: 2613

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ND 11/22/2005

NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER